

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/717,462 | 11/21/2003 | Raymond G. Vershum | 5210 P 006 | 8073 |
| 75 | 90 10/11/2006 | • | EXAM | INER |
| PAUL J. NYKAZA, ESQ. | | | HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE | |
| Wallenstein Wa | igner & Rockey, Ltd. | | | <u></u> |
| 53rd Floor | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 311 South Wacker | | | 3781 | |
| Chicago, IL 60606-6630 | | | DATE MAILED, 10/11/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/717,462 | VERSHUM ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Robin A. Hylton | 3727 | • | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | 0) 00 71 11071 ((00) 0.4 | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 J | ulv 2006. | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | s action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | secution as to the merit | ts is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under the | , | | • | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-52</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 53-56</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er er | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | , , , , , , | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | 21(d). | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | • | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | (-) (-) | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | on No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio | | |) | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | nte | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-13-04</u> . | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application | | | | | |
| · h · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | -, | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/717,462

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in the reply filed on July 6, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no undue burden on the examiner to examine all the claims. This is not found persuasive because an undue burden arises with respect to extensive searching in art areas for a claimed invention unfamiliar to the examiner as well as extensive time for searching multiple inventions. Additionally, applicant did not point out specific errors in the restriction requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1,3-10,13,15-20,22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hagen (US 3,460,740).

Wherein the claims are directed to a protective wrap for use an item, the item of claims 9 and 10 as being an automobile bumper are not considered to be part of the claimed protective wrap.

5. Claims 53-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoekstra (US 4,918,905). See the abstract.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,462 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Hagen in view of Hurwitz et al. (US 5,538,778).

Hagen discloses the claimed wrap except for it being in a roll having no core.

Hurwitz teaches it is known to store a wrap in a roll, the roll having no core, prior to use

of the wrap.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to apply the teaching of storing the wrap of Hagen in a roll, the roll having no core, prior to

use. Doing so allows for easy and convenient storage of the wrap prior to use.

8. Claims 2 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagen

in view of Insley et al. (US 5,451,437).

Hagen discloses the claimed protective wrap except for stitching to secure the edges

together. It is noted that longitudinal is given the broadest interpretation such that is read as the

length of the individual wrap.

Insley teaches it is known to stitch, adhesively bond, or weld edges of a container

together.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to apply the alternative securing arrangement of stitching to the protective wrap of Hagen

Application/Control Number: 10/717,462

Art Unit: 3727

in view of Insley. Doing so provides a known alternative method of securing the wrap edges together.

9. Claims 2,14, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagen in view of Insley and Freidman (US 3,248,040).

Hagen substantially discloses the claimed wrap except for longitudinally extending stitching in an longitudinal direction, and in the case of claim 14 providing a fin seal.

Friedman teaches it is known to provide a wrap with overlapping seals or a fin seal.

Insley teaches it is known to stitch, adhesively bond, or weld edges of a container together.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the warp as a continuous strip having a stitched fin seal extending continuously along each successive wrap, since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of folding a sheet in a longitudinal direction and secured along the longitudinal edge and folding a sheet in a transverse direction for their use in the bag art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to provide a continuous strip of wraps would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

10. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt

Application/Control Number: 10/717,462 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 12. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 13. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

| | | for Application Serial No mber 571-273-8300 on the d | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Typed or printed n | ame of person signing | this certificate | |
| Signature | | | |
| Date | | | |

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Art Unit: 3727

supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH September 30, 2006

> Robin Al Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3727